## 2015-2019 CRASH DATA



Opinion of probable cost for single-lane roundabout

## \$1.15 MILLION

## E. Coutant Road and Elms Road

The intersection of Coutant Road and Elms Road was included in the early preliminary engineering phase with support from the community due to intersection operations and safety concerns. This intersection is included in the 153 intersections that passed the skim analysis for experiencing crashes correctable by a roundabout and is a secondary analysis Tier Three intersection.

During the skim analysis, it was observed that there were nine angle crashes and one head on left turn crash over the 5-year period. These crashes resulted in zero fatalities, zero type $A$ injuries, zero type $B$ injuries, and eight type $C$ injuries at the intersection.

## Future No-Build Conditions

The intersection of Coutant Road and Elms Road is an all-way stop control intersection. Stop signs and overhead flashing beacons are present for all approaches. Coutant Road runs east/west and is a two-lane roadway with one lane in each direction. Elms Road runs north/south and is a two-lane road with one lane in each direction. A short (approximately 70-foot) right-turn lane exists on the eastbound Coutant Road approach. There is a church in the southeast quadrant. There are residences in the other quadrants. An aerial of the existing intersection can be seen in Figure 6.

Figure 6: Aerial view of Coutant Road and Elms Road


An operational analysis of the no-build condition was completed for the intersection using the 2045 forecast traffic volumes. The results of the analysis for future no-build conditions reveals that all approaches operate at LOS C or better in the AM peak hour with the exception of southbound Elms Road (LOS E). In the PM peak hour, the Elms Road approaches operate at LOS F, eastbound Coutant Road is LOS D, and westbound Coutant Road is LOS C.

The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths were reviewed at the intersection and results showed that the approaches experienced a maximum queue length of 178 feet ( 8 vehicles) during the AM peak hour and 573 feet ( 23 vehicles) during the PM peak hour.

The operational results for future no-build conditions are presented in Table 14.

Table 14: Operational Analysis for 2045 No-Build Conditions

| Intersection | Approach | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay/LOS | Queue (veh)* | Delay/LOS | Queue (veh)* |
| Coutant Street and <br> Elms Road | Eastbound | $14.8 / \mathrm{B}$ | $3(74 \mathrm{ft})$ | $26.6 / \mathrm{D}$ | $5(110 \mathrm{ft})$ |
|  | Westbound | $12.6 / \mathrm{B}$ | $3(54 \mathrm{ft})$ | $24.8 / \mathrm{C}$ | $4(83 \mathrm{ft})$ |
|  | Northbound | $21.9 / \mathrm{C}$ | $4(94 \mathrm{ft})$ | $225.1 / \mathrm{F}$ | $23(573 \mathrm{ft})$ |
|  | Southbound | $39.5 / \mathrm{E}$ | $8(178 \mathrm{ft})$ | $74.3 / \mathrm{F}$ | $11(267 \mathrm{ft})$ |
|  | Overall | $\mathbf{2 6 . 5 / D}$ |  | $107.3 / \mathrm{F}$ |  |

* $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length


## Roundabout Conditions

A compact single-lane roundabout with an inscribed circle diameter of 100 feet would improve traffic operations and safety at this intersection. This design would have a fully mountable central island to facilitate truck movements with the smaller diameter. Compact roundabouts have been implemented over the past 5 years at several similar locations in Washtenaw County, Michigan, with positive results. It is likely that a small amount of permanent right-of-way acquisition would be needed in the northwest, southeast, and northeast quadrants of the intersection. A concept design exhibit for this intersection can be found at the end of this section. If desired later in the design process, a standard single-lane roundabout with diameter of 130 feet or greater could be considered at this location. A larger roundabout could result in greater right-of-way impacts and cost.

An operational analysis of the roundabout (build) condition was completed for the intersection using Rodel software and the 2045 forecast traffic volumes. The results of the analysis for roundabout (build) conditions reveal that all approaches and movements at the intersection operate at LOS A during the AM and PM peak hours.

The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths were reviewed, and results showed that all approaches would experience a maximum queue length of 3.7 vehicles during the AM peak hour and PM peak hour for the compact single-lane roundabout design.

The operational results for future roundabout (build) conditions are presented in Table 15.

Table 15: Operational Analysis for 2045 with Roundabout

| Intersection | Approach | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Queue (veh)* | Delay/LOS | Queue (veh)* |  |
| Coutant Street and <br> Elms Road | Eastbound | $5.2 / \mathrm{A}$ | 0.5 | $5.2 / \mathrm{A}$ | 1.4 |
|  | Westbound | $3.9 / \mathrm{A}$ | 0.3 | $5.2 / \mathrm{A}$ | 0.7 |
|  | Northbound | $4.7 / \mathrm{A}$ | 1.3 | $6.9 / \mathrm{A}$ | 3.7 |
|  | Southbound | $5.0 / \mathrm{A}$ | 1.8 | $5.0 / \mathrm{A}$ | 1.8 |
|  | Overall | 4.9/A |  | $5.8 / \mathrm{A}$ |  |

* $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length

An opinion of probable cost was developed for the compact single-lane roundabout. The probable cost is $\$ 1.15$ million in year 2025 dollars. This cost includes a 20 percent contingency and 3 percent annual inflation. A full breakdown along with all assumptions can be found in Appendix 3.

Potential funding sources for this improvement could include regular road improvement funding, safety funds, CMAQ, or an earmark. A TOR was computed with a result of 21.02.

## Recommendation

A roundabout appears feasible at this location, assuming GCRC can acquire the limited right-of-way that may be needed.


## Coutant Street \& Elms Road - TMC

Tue Mar 9, 2021
Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM)
All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)

# 'C] IA GEWAL HAMLITON <br> ASSOCIATES, INC. 

Provided by: Gewalt Hamilton Associates Inc. 625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US
All Movements
ID: 817407, Location: 43.067368, -83.813279

| Leg <br> Direction | Coutant Rd <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Coutant Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Elms Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Elms Rd <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | T | R |  | App | d* | L | T | R | U | App | ed* | L | T | R | U | App |  | L | T | R | U | App |  |  |
| 2021-03-09 7:00AM | 0 | 18 | 16 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 4 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 121 |
| 7:15AM | 6 | 27 | 12 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 11 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 2 | 44 | 1 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 141 |
| 7:30AM | 14 | 31 | 19 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 11 | 57 | 1 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 4 | 74 | 6 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 232 |
| 7:45AM | 11 | 25 | 26 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 13 | 59 | 1 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 5 | 86 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 249 |
| Hourly Total | 31 | 101 | 73 | 0 | 205 | 0 | 12 | 28 | 8 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 45 | 160 | 5 | 0 | 210 | 0 | 11 | 249 | 20 | 0 | 280 | 0 | 743 |
| 8:00AM | 3 | 21 | 19 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 12 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 3 | 71 | 3 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 183 |
| 8:15AM | 5 | 24 | 9 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 7 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 8 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 167 |
| 8:30AM | 2 | 27 | 16 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 16 | 35 | 5 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 4 | 59 | 9 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 199 |
| 8:45AM | 14 | 28 | 32 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 26 | 41 | 4 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 3 | 84 | 17 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 275 |
| Hourly Total | 24 | 100 | 76 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 10 | 66 | 8 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 61 | 147 | 15 | 0 | 223 | 0 | 10 | 270 | 37 | 0 | 317 | 0 | 824 |
| 4:00PM | 19 | 31 | 51 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 16 | 100 | 6 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 6 | 66 | 8 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 332 |
| 4:15PM | 12 | 13 | 23 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 6 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 13 | 102 | 3 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 1 | 76 | 10 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 279 |
| 4:30PM | 9 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 11 | 94 | 3 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 1 | 67 | 8 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 253 |
| 4:45PM | 8 | 8 | 19 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 9 | 23 | 8 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 18 | 88 | 3 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 1 | 61 | 4 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 250 |
| Hourly Total | 48 | 69 | 110 | 0 | 227 | 0 | 23 | 80 | 18 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 58 | 384 | 15 | 0 | 457 | 0 | 9 | 270 | 30 | 0 | 309 | 0 | 1114 |
| 5:00PM | 4 | 11 | 20 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 101 | 1 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 1 | 48 | 3 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 229 |
| 5:15PM | 4 | 16 | 21 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 10 | 17 | 8 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 19 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 3 | 51 | 7 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 242 |
| 5:30PM | 4 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 19 | 85 | 4 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 3 | 46 | 9 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 215 |
| 5:45PM | 6 | 13 | 12 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 14 | 98 | 6 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 4 | 37 | 8 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 226 |
| Hourly Total | 18 | 45 | 70 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 20 | 66 | 20 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 72 | 370 | 11 | 0 | 453 | 0 | 11 | 182 | 27 | 0 | 220 | 0 | 912 |
| Total | 121 | 315 | 329 | 0 | 765 | 0 | 65 | 240 | 54 | 0 | 359 | 0 | 236 | 1061 | 46 | 0 | 1343 | 0 | 41 | 971 | 114 | 0 | 1126 | 0 | 3593 |
| \% Approach | 15.8\% | 41.2\% | 43.0\% 0 |  | - |  | 18.1\% | 66.9\% | 15.0\% 0\% |  | - |  | 17.6\% 7 | 79.0\% | 3.4\% 0 |  | - |  | 3.6\% 8 | 86.2\% | 10.1\% 0 |  | - | - |  |
| \% Total | 3.4\% | 8.8\% | 9.2\% 0 | 0\% 2 | 21.3\% |  | 1.8\% | 6.7\% | 1.5\% 0\% | \% 1 | 10.0\% |  | 6.6\% | 29.5\% | 1.3\% 0\% | \% | 37.4\% |  | 1.1\% 2 | 27.0\% | 3.2\% 0 | \% | 31.3\% | - |  |
| Lights | 118 | 312 | 323 | 0 | 753 |  | 65 | 234 | 53 | 0 | 352 |  | 231 | 1047 | 46 | 0 | 1324 |  | 39 | 957 | 109 | 0 | 1105 | - | 3534 |
| \% Lights | 97.5\% | 99.0\% | 98.2\% 0 | 0\% 98 | 98.4\% | - | 100\% | 97.5\% | 98.1\% 0\% | \% 9 | 98.1\% |  | 97.9\% | 98.7\% | 100\% 0\% | \% 9 | 98.6\% |  | 95.1\% 9 | 98.6\% | 95.6\% 0 | \% | 98.1\% |  | 98.4\% |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 9 |  | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 8 | - | 21 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | 0\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% 0 | 0\% | 0.4\% | - | 0\% | 0.4\% | 0\% 0\% | 0\% | 0.3\% |  | 1.3\% | 0.6\% | 0\% 0\% | 0\% | 0.7\% |  | 2.4\% | 0.5\% | 1.8\% 0 | \% | 0.7\% | - | 0.6\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | - | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | - | 7 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0.8\% | 0\% | 0\% 0 | 0\% | 0.1\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% | - | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0.1\% | - | 0\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% 0 |  | 0.4\% | - | 0.2\% |
| Buses | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 8 | - | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | - | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 8 | - | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 8 | - | 29 |
| \% Buses | 1.7\% | 0.3\% | 1.5\% 0 | 0\% | 1.0\% | - | 0\% | 1.7\% | 1.9\% 0\% | \% | 1.4\% |  | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0.6\% |  | 2.4\% | 0.5\% | 1.8\% 0 |  | 0.7\% | - | 0.8\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 2 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0.4\% | 0\% 0\% | \% | 0.3\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0.1\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0.1\% | - | 0.1\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |  | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Tue Mar 9, 2021
Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM)
All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements

Provided by: Gewalt Hamilton Associates Inc. 625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US

ID: 817407, Location: 43.067368, -83.813279
[N] Elms Rd
Total: 2362
In: 1126
Out: 1236


Tue Mar 9, 2021
AM Peak (7:30 AM - 8:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)

Provided by: Gewalt Hamilton Associates Inc. 625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US

All Movements
ID: 817407, Location: 43.067368, -83.813279

| Leg <br> Direction | Coutant Rd <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Coutant Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Elms Rd <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Elms Rd <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | T | R | U | App |  | L | T | R | U | App |  | L | T | R | U | App |  | L | T | R | U | App |  |  |
| 2021-03-09 7:30AM | 14 | 31 | 19 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 11 | 57 | 1 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 4 | 74 | 6 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 232 |
| 7:45AM | 11 | 25 | 26 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 13 | 59 | 1 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 5 | 86 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 249 |
| 8:00AM | 3 | 21 | 19 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 12 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 3 | 71 | 3 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 183 |
| 8:15AM | 5 | 24 | 9 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 7 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 8 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 167 |
| Total | 33 | 101 | 73 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 10 | 42 | 9 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 43 | 187 | 8 | 0 | 238 | 0 | 12 | 287 | 26 | 0 | 325 | 0 | 831 |
| \% Approach | 15.9\% 4 | 48.8\% | 35.3\% 0\% |  | - |  | 16.4\% | 68.9\% | 14.8\% 0\% |  | - |  | 18.1\% | 78.6\% | 3.4\% 0 |  | - |  | 3.7\% 88 | 88.3\% | 8.0\% 0 |  | - |  |  |
| \% Total | 4.0\% 1 | 12.2\% | 8.8\% 0 | \% 2 | 24.9\% |  | 1.2\% | 5.1\% | 1.1\% 0 | \% | 7.3\% | - | 5.2\% | 22.5\% | 1.0\% 0 | \% | 28.6\% |  | 1.4\% 3 | 34.5\% | 3.1\% 0 | \% 3 | 39.1\% |  |  |
| PHF | 0.589 | 0.815 | 0.702 |  | 0.809 |  | 0.625 | 0.875 | 0.375 | - | 0.953 | - | 0.827 | 0.792 | 0.400 | - | 0.815 |  | 0.600 | 0.834 | 0.722 | - 0 | 0.813 |  | 0.834 |
| Lights | 32 | 100 | 68 | 0 | 200 | - | 10 | 41 | 8 | 0 | 59 | - | 41 | 181 | 8 | 0 | 230 |  | 11 | 279 | 24 | 0 | 314 |  | 803 |
| \% Lights | 97.0\% 9 | 99.0\% | 93.2\% 0\% | \% 96 | 96.6\% |  | 100\% | 97.6\% | 88.9\% 0 | \% | 96.7\% |  | 95.3\% | 96.8\% | 100\% 0 | \% | 96.6\% |  | 91.7\% 9 | 97.2\% | 92.3\% 0 | \% 9 | 96.6\% |  | 96.6\% |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 |  | 9 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | 0\% | 0\% | 1.4\% 0\% | \% | 0.5\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% | - | 2.3\% | 1.6\% | 0\% 0 | \% | 1.7\% |  | 0\% | 1.0\% | 3.8\% 0 | \% | 1.2\% |  | 1.1\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 3.0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% | \% | 0.5\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0\% |  | 0.1\% |
| Buses | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | - | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | - | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 7 | - | 18 |
| \% Buses | 0\% | 1.0\% | 5.5\% 0\% | \% | 2.4\% | - | 0\% | 2.4\% | 11.1\% 0 |  | 3.3\% | - | 2.3\% | 1.6\% | 0\% 0 |  | 1.7\% |  | 8.3\% | 1.7\% | 3.8\% 0 |  | 2.2\% |  | 2.2\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

[^0]Tue Mar 9, 2021
AM Peak (7:30 AM - 8:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 817407, Location: 43.067368, -83.813279

Provided by: Gewalt Hamilton Associates Inc. 625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US
[N] Elms Rd
Total: 554
In: $325 \quad$ Out: 229


Out: 370
In: 238
Total: 608
[S] Elms Rd

## Coutant Street \& Elms Road - TMC

Tue Mar 9, 2021
PM Peak (4 PM - 5 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements

Provided by: Gewalt Hamilton Associates Inc. 625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US

ID: 817407, Location: 43.067368, -83.813279

| Leg <br> Direction | Coutant Rd <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Coutant Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Elms Rd <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Elms Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | T | R U |  | App |  | L | T | R |  | App |  | L | T | R U | U | App |  | L | T | R | U | App |  |  |
| 2021-03-09 4:00PM | 19 | 31 | 51 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 16 | 100 | 6 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 6 | 66 | 8 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 332 |
| 4:15PM | 12 | 13 | 23 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 6 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 13 | 102 | 3 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 1 | 76 | 10 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 279 |
| 4:30PM | 9 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 11 | 94 | 3 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 1 | 67 | 8 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 253 |
| 4:45PM | 8 | 8 | 19 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 9 | 23 | 8 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 18 | 88 | 3 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 1 | 61 | 4 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 250 |
| Total | 48 | 69 | 110 | 0 | 227 | 0 | 23 | 80 | 18 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 58 | 384 | 15 | 0 | 457 | 0 | 9 | 270 | 30 | 0 | 309 | 0 | 1114 |
| \% Approach | 21.1\% | 30.4\% | 48.5\% 0\% |  | - | - | 19.0\% | 66.1\% | 14.9\% 0\% | \% | - |  | 12.7\% 8 | 84.0\% | 3.3\% 0\% |  | - |  | 2.9\% | 87.4\% | 9.7\% 0\% |  | - | - | - |
| \% Total | 4.3\% | 6.2\% | 9.9\% 0\% | \% 20 | 20.4\% | - | 2.1\% | 7.2\% | 1.6\% 0\% | \% 10 | 10.9\% |  | 5.2\% | 34.5\% | 1.3\% 0\% | \% 4 | 41.0\% |  | 0.8\% | 24.2\% | 2.7\% 0\% | \% 2 | 27.7\% | - |  |
| PHF | 0.632 | 0.556 | 0.539 |  | 0.562 | - | 0.639 | 0.870 | 0.563 |  | 0.756 |  | 0.806 | 0.941 | 0.625 | - 0 | 0.936 |  | 0.375 | 0.888 | 0.750 | - 0 | 0.888 | - | 0.839 |
| Lights | 47 | 68 | 109 | 0 | 224 | - | 23 | 78 | 18 | 0 | 119 |  | 58 | 381 | 15 | 0 | 454 |  | 8 | 269 | 30 | 0 | 307 |  | 1104 |
| \% Lights | 97.9\% 9 | 98.6\% | 99.1\% 0\% | \% 98 | 98.7\% | - | 100\% | 97.5\% | 100\% 0\% | \% 98 | 38.3\% |  | 100\% | 99.2\% | 100\% 0\% | \% 9 | 99.3\% |  | 88.9\% 9 | 99.6\% | 100\% 0\% | \% 9 | 99.4\% |  | 99.1\% |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 4 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | 0\% | 1.4\% | 0\% 0\% | \% | 0.4\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% | \% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0.5\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0.4\% |  | 11.1\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0.3\% | - | 0.4\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 1 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% | \% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0.4\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0.3\% | - | 0.1\% |
| Buses | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | - | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 5 |
| \% Buses | 2.1\% | 0\% | 0.9\% 0\% |  | 0.9\% | - | 0\% | 2.5\% | 0\% 0\% | 0\% | 1.7\% | - | 0\% | 0.3\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0.2\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% | - | 0.4\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% | \% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% | \% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

PM Peak (4 PM - 5 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 817407, Location: 43.067368, -83.813279

Provided by: Gewalt Hamilton Associates Inc. 625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US

## [N] Elms Rd

Total: 759
In: 309 Out: 450


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 26.5 |
| Intersection LOS | D |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | ¢ |  |  | * |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 43 | 131 | 95 | 13 | 55 | 12 | 56 | 243 | 10 | 16 | 373 | 34 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 43 | 131 | 95 | 13 | 55 | 12 | 56 | 243 | 10 | 16 | 373 | 34 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 53 | 162 | 117 | 14 | 58 | 13 | 68 | 296 | 12 | 20 | 460 | 42 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 14.8 |  |  | 12.6 |  |  | 21.9 |  |  | 39.5 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | E |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $18 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $79 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 309 | 174 | 95 | 80 | 423 |
| LT Vol | 56 | 43 | 0 | 13 | 16 |
| Through Vol | 243 | 131 | 0 | 55 | 373 |
| RT Vol | 10 | 0 | 95 | 12 | 34 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 377 | 215 | 117 | 84 | 522 |
| Geometry Grp | 2 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 2 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.674 | 0.454 | 0.22 | 0.182 | 0.887 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.439 | 7.605 | 6.758 | 7.773 | 6.115 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 561 | 473 | 530 | 459 | 590 |
| Service Time | 4.498 | 5.366 | 4.519 | 5.863 | 4.167 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.672 | 0.455 | 0.221 | 0.183 | 0.885 |
| HCM Control Delay | 21.9 | 16.6 | 11.4 | 12.6 | 39.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | C | B | B | E |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 5.1 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 10.4 |

Intersection: 9007: Coutant St \& Elms Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | R | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 86 | 83 | 67 | 124 | 221 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 48 | 38 | 33 | 54 | 90 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 74 | 64 | 54 | 94 | 178 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 601 |  | 591 | 575 | 593 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 75 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 1

| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 107.3 |
| Intersection LOS | F |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | \$ |  |  | \& |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 62 | 90 | 143 | 30 | 104 | 23 | 75 | 499 | 20 | 12 | 351 | 39 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 62 | 90 | 143 | 30 | 104 | 23 | 75 | 499 | 20 | 12 | 351 | 39 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 111 | 161 | 255 | 39 | 137 | 30 | 80 | 531 | 21 | 13 | 394 | 44 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 26.6 |  |  | 24.8 |  |  | 225.1 |  |  | 74.3 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | D |  |  | C |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $13 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $84 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 594 | 152 | 143 | 157 | 402 |
| LT Vol | 75 | 62 | 0 | 30 | 12 |
| Through Vol | 499 | 90 | 0 | 104 | 351 |
| RT Vol | 20 | 0 | 143 | 23 | 39 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 632 | 271 | 255 | 207 | 452 |
| Geometry Grp | 2 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 2 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 1.421 | 0.669 | 0.565 | 0.529 | 0.996 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 8.097 | 9.859 | 8.913 | 10.565 | 8.935 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 449 | 369 | 409 | 343 | 411 |
| Service Time | 6.192 | 7.559 | 6.613 | 8.565 | 6.935 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 1.408 | 0.734 | 0.623 | 0.603 | 1.1 |
| HCM Control Delay | 225.1 | 30.4 | 22.6 | 24.8 | 74.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | D | C | C | F |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 30.7 | 4.6 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 12.2 |

Intersection: 9007: Coutant St \& Elms Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | R | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 150 | 94 | 99 | 559 | 363 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 52 | 46 | 48 | 256 | 118 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 110 | 85 | 83 | 573 | 267 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 601 |  | 591 | 575 | 593 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 8 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 75 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 8 | 6 |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 14
S. Rodel - <br>corp.ftch.com\AllProjects $2020 \backslash 201202 \backslash$ WORKCaics TRANSPORTATIOM Rodel\Coutant \& Elms.rod

File View Help


## Coutant \& Elms PM Peak



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coutant Street \& Elms Road |  |  |  |  |
| Opinion of Probable Cost |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | By: Fishbeck Date: 5/7/2021 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| PAY ITEM DESCRIPTION | ESTIMATED QUANTITY | UNIT | UNIT PRICE | AMOUNT |
| Mobilization (10\%) | 1 | LSUM | \$77,600.00 | \$77,600.00 |
| Pavt, Rem | 5900 | Syd | \$10.00 | \$59,000.00 |
| Curb and Gutter, Rem | 125 | Ft | \$10.00 | \$1,250.00 |
| Embankment, CIP | 1000 | Cyd | \$15.00 | \$15,000.00 |
| Excavation, Earth | 1500 | Cyd | \$10.00 | \$15,000.00 |
| Aggregate Base | 2560 | Ton | \$21.00 | \$53,760.00 |
| Shoulder, CIII | 90 | Ton | \$25.00 | \$2,250.00 |
| HMA Approach | 75 | Ton | \$50.00 | \$3,750.00 |
| Conc Pavt, Nonreinf, 8 inch | 5330 | Syd | \$45.00 | \$239,850.00 |
| Joint, Contraction, Cp | 4080 | Ft | \$10.00 | \$40,800.00 |
| Joint, Expansion, E3 | 120 | Ft | \$15.00 | \$1,800.00 |
| Driveway, Nonreinf Conc, 9 inch | 160 | Syd | \$50.00 | \$8,000.00 |
| Curb and Gutter, Conc, Det B2 | 1650 | Ft | \$25.00 | \$41,250.00 |
| Curb and Gutter, Conc, Det D1 | 1215 | Ft | \$25.00 | \$30,375.00 |
| Conc Pavt, Decorative Colored, 9 inch | 4565 | Stt | \$12.50 | \$57,062.50 |
| Turf Establishment, Performance | 5500 | Syd | \$5.00 | \$27,500.00 |
| Signal Removal | 1 | LSUM | \$1,500.00 | \$1,500.00 |
| Drainage | 1 | LSUM | \$85,000.00 | \$85,000.00 |
| MOT | 1 | LSUM | \$57,500.00 | \$57,500.00 |
| Pavement Markings | 1 | LSUM | \$15,000.00 | \$15,000.00 |
| Signing | 1 | LSUM | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COST |  |  |  | \$853,248 |

CONTINGENCY (20\%)
\$170,649.5
ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST (YEAR 2021)
3\% ANNUAL INFLATION 2021 TO 2025
\$1,023,897.0
$\$ 128,508.09$
ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST (YEAR 2025)
\$1,152,405.09

## Assumptions:

Full Depth Concrete Reconstruct assumed for all pavements (HMA Paving, HMA base crushing and shaping, or cold milling and overlay could be utilized as a cost savings)
Pavement section assumed to be 8" Nonreinforced Concrete over 6" Aggregate Base for roadway
HMA Driveway section assumed to be 3" HMA over 6" Aggregate Base
Existing Gravel Drives paved with HMA to ROW or 20', whichever comes first
HMA and Concrete removal incorporated under Pavt, Rem
Joints assumed for concrete roadway and central island/splitter islands
Drainage includes enclosed storm for all curbed areas, spillways, and underdrain
MOT taken as approximately ~8\% of total before mobilization; based on previous roundabout projects
ROW acqusition costs not included

NUMBER OF CRASHES OR INJURED PERSONS.


REMARKS:

```
Coutant Street and Elms Road Genesee County Roundabout Study 1506105, 1523901
2.1700582, 10.6307480
```

Roundabout

# COMPUTED BENEFITS DERIVED THROUGH CRASH REDUCTION 

## TOR 2021

Project: Coutant Street and Elms Road
Prepared By: ROWE Professional Services Company

City/Twp. Mt. Morris Township
County Genesee County

PR: 1506105, 1523901 PR MP Range: 2.1700582, 10.6307480

The method of evaluating crash costs, used below, is given on page 67 of Roy Jorgensen's report of Highway Safety Improvement Criteria, 1966 edition. This same method is given in the Bureau of Public Roads IM21-3-67. In 1994 we have adapted the Q formula to blend Fatalities and A -injuries only. In the following analysis the costs provided by the National Safety Council are :

2019 NSC VALUES:

| Death | $\$ 1,659,000=$ FATCOST |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Disabling (A) injury: | $\$ 96,200=$ ACOST |
| B-injury: | $\$ 27,800=$ BCOST |
| PDO and/or Minor Injury Crash: | $\$ 12,200=$ PDOCOST |
|  |  |
| BTOTAL $=$ ADTa / ADTb $\times[(Q \times R 1)+($ BCOST $\times$ R2 $)+($ PDOCOST $\times$ R3 $)]$ |  |

WHERE:
BTOTAL $=$ Total Benefit in Dollars Over Years Used
\$214,183
ADTa $=\quad$ Average traffic volume after the improvement 1.1
ADTb $=\quad$ Average traffic volume before the improvement 1.0
R1 $=$ Reduction in fatalities and A-Injuries Combined. 0.0
R2 $=$ Reduction in B-Injury crashes: 0.0
R3 $=$ Reduction in PDO and C-injury crashes: 16.0
$\mathrm{Q}=[$ FATCOST $+((\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{F}) \times$ INJCOST $)] /[1+(\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{F})]$
$=\quad[1,659,000+(6.10 \times 96,200)] /[1+6.10]$
\$316,400
for AREA TYPE "Urban"
I/F =
6.10

| Q-Reference | Q | A-Inuries | Fatalities | $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{F}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RURAL | $\$ 363,900$ | 6,072 | 1,255 | 4.84 |
| URBAN | $\$ 316,400$ | 9,902 | 1,624 | 6.10 |
| BETWEEN | $\$ 334,900$ | 15,974 | 2,879 | 5.55 |

Data from Safety Programs Unit
5-Year Statewide Non-Trunkline Crash Figures Used.
(*From 1-1-2015 Through 12-31-2019).

Time of Return (T.O.R.) is based on ....
NOINFB $=$ No-Inflation Annual Benefit=BTOTAL/years
With an inflation rate of $\qquad$
$B=A n n u a l$ Benefit=Present Value (with Inflation)
C $=$ Project Cost

TOR=C/B=COST/ANNUAL BENEFIT=
E. Coutant and Elms

1. 2045 AM Peak Hour No Build
2. 2045 PM Peak Hour No Build
3. 2045 AM Peak Hour Roundabout
4. 2045 PM Peak Hour Roundabout

[^0]:    *Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

